Active Brownian motion in threshold distribution of a Coulomb blockade model
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Randomly distributed offset charges affect the nonlinear current-voltage property via the fluctuation of the threshold voltage above which the current flows in an array of a Coulomb blockade (CB). We analytically derive the distribution of the threshold voltage for a model of one-dimensional locally coupled CB arrays and propose a general relationship between conductance and distribution. In addition, we show that the distribution for a long array is equivalent to the distribution of the number of upward steps for aligned objects of different heights. The distribution satisfies a novel Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to active Brownian motion. The feature of the distribution is clarified by comparing it with the Wigner and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. It is not restricted to the CB model but is instructive in statistical physics generally.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear phenomena and threshold behaviors are observed in many disordered systems [1]. One such example is a Coulomb blockade (CB) [2], observed at low bias voltage of an electronic device having a low-capacitance tunnel junction. Specifically, tunneling of an electron is prevented by the presence of other electrons due to the electron-electron interaction. Thus, no current flows below a threshold voltage \( V_\text{th} \) of the CB. Above \( V_\text{th} \), nonlinear current-voltage \((I-V)\) behavior appears. Studies have explicitly considered types of disorder and clarified that disorder affects the nonlinear transport [3–7]. Middleton and Wingreen (MW) considered the charge disorder that originates from impurities of a substrate [3]. The threshold voltage is sensitive to this charge disorder. The distribution of \( V_\text{th} \) has never been derived, although MW have discussed the mean value and variance [3,6].

In this paper, we focus on the threshold distribution (TD) as it leads to understanding the nonlinearity in \(I-V\) response; we show that the conductance is represented by the cumulative distribution of \( V_\text{th} \). We find an analytic expression for the TD for a one-dimensional (1D) locally coupled CB array. In addition, we reveal that the TD in the long-array limit is equivalent to the distribution for the number of upward steps for aligned objects of different heights. The distribution satisfies a novel Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to active Brownian motion [8], i.e., overdamped motion of a Brownian particle in a harmonic potential that spreads with time. This characteristic of the distribution is quite instructive in the field of statistical physics.

II. MODEL

We employ the model proposed by MW [3], in which there are \( N \) aligned Coulomb islands, constituting the minimum units of charge storage (Fig. 1). We consider that the gate capacitance \( C_g \) is much greater than the island-island and island-electrode capacitances \( C \). In general, interactions such as electron-electron and spin-coupling play an important role in evolving the nonlinear \(I-V\) behavior [5,9]. However, such interactions are not dominant if \( C/C_g \ll 1 \), corresponding to the so-called locally coupled CB. Compared with several theoretical approaches such as the density-functional theory [9,10] and the random matrix theory [11], the model we employ is classical and the simplest demonstrating CB. Many experimental features though can be explained by this model [4,12–15], and theoretical work is still continuing even now [6,7,16]. More significantly, some results obtained in this paper are not solely restricted to this model.

The voltages of the negative and gate electrodes are set to zero, and the bias voltage is thus equivalent to the voltage \( \Phi_+ \) of the positive electrode. Let \( Q_i \) denote the charge of the \( i \)th island; \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \). The charge is represented as \( Q_i = n_i e + q_i \), where \( n_i \) denotes an integer, \( e \) the elementary charge, and \( q_i \) the offset charge arising from an impurity. The offset charges are given by uniform random numbers in \([-\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2] \) and remain constant over time. The offset charges just indicate the nonintegral part of each charge; i.e., the uniform distribution for \( q_i \) is equivalent to arbitrary distributions of offset charges.

The total energy \( E \) of the system is written as [17]

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} Q_i M^{-1}_{ij} Q_j + C \Phi_+ \sum_{i,j} Q_i M^{-1}_{ij} + Q_+ \Phi_+, \tag{1}
\]

where \( Q_+ \) denotes the charge of the positive electrode. \( M_{ij} \) denotes the capacitance matrix; for 1D simple arrays, \( M_{ij} = C_g + 2C \) for \( i = j \), \( M_{ij} = -C \) for \( |i-j|=1 \), and \( M_{ij} = 0 \) otherwise. The system evolves such that \( E \) decreases by the sequential tunneling of an electron. To take the most probable path of evolution, and we virtually transfer an electron to another island and calculate the energy change \( \Delta E_{i\rightarrow j} \) for all possible tunneling paths, where \( (i',j') \in \{1, \ldots, N, +, -\} \). In simulations (e.g., [3,7,16]), each tunneling time, which is proportional to the change in energy for \( T = 0 \) [18], is calculated. The path of the shortest tunneling time is thus employed for actual electron transfer. In the rest of the paper, we work in dimensionless units, whereby the charge is scaled by \( e \), the voltage by \( e/C_g \), and the energy by \( e^2/C_g \).
positive electrode, $\Delta E_{2-1}$ remains greater than zero because $q_1 < q_2$. For $\Delta E_{1-0}$ and $\Delta E_{2-1}$ to be less than zero, $\Phi_+$ has to be increased to $q_1 + 3/2$, and a steady-state current then flows; i.e., the voltage threshold is $V_{th} = q_1 + 3/2$. The above argument holds, without loss of generality, to arbitrary $N$; i.e.,

$$V_{th}(q_1,n) = q_1 + n - 1/2 (-1/2 \leq q_1 \leq 1/2) \quad (3a)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow q_1(V_{th},n) = V_{th} - n + 1/2 (n - 1 \leq V_{th} \leq n), \quad (3b)$$

where $n - 1$ indicates the number of upward steps; $n = 1, \ldots, N$. The threshold depends only on $q_1$ and $n$; i.e., the magnitudes of the offset charges between neighboring islands are renormalized to $n$.

### IV. Threshold Distribution

Equation (3a) suggests that the charge-offset analysis based on $q_1$ is appropriate. In addition, Eq. (3b) suggests that the region $0 \leq V_{th} \leq N$ should be divided into $N$ equally spaced segments. Thus, the $n$th segmented TD for the $N$-island array is expressed as

$$P_n^{(n)}(V_{th}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} U_n(n|k)\Pi_n(k) \quad (n-1 \leq V_{th} \leq n), \quad (4)$$

where $U_n(n|k)$ denotes the conditional probability that there are $n-1$ upward steps if there are $k$ offset charges less than $q_{h'}$. Note that $U_n(n|k)$ does not depend on $V_{th}$. Here, since $q_1$ is the basis for analyzing the offset charges, we should select $h' = 1$. $\Pi_n(k)$ denotes the probability that there are $k$ offset charges less than $q_1$, and it is expressed as

$$\Pi_n(k) = \frac{N-1}{k} p_k^L p_G^{N-1-k}, \quad (5)$$

where $p_k$ and $p_L$ are the probabilities of $q_h > q_1$ and $q_h < q_1$, respectively, and $h \in \{2, \ldots, N\}$. Note that $p_G = 1/2 - q_1$ and $p_L = 1/2 + q_1$.

One can obtain $U_2(1|0) = U_2(2|1) = 0$ and $U_3(1|1) = U_3(2|0) = 1$, and then $P_2^{(1)}(V_{th}) = V_{th}$, $P_2^{(2)}(V_{th}) = 2 - V_{th}$.

Using the same procedure, we obtain the entire TD $P_n^{(n)}(V_{th})$ for arbitrary $N$ as the joining of the segmented TDs $P_n^{(n)}(V_{th})$ [19]. As shown in Fig. 2, simulation results are correctly described.
without fitting parameters. It is clear that, for arbitrary $N$, each segmented TD is represented as an $(N - 1)$-degree polynomial of $V_{ih}$ because of the term $p_h^k p_0^{N-1-k}$. For small $N$ (in particular, $N = 2$ in Fig. 2), the distributions have strange shapes, which might be a consequence of model-dependent behavior. In more realistic cases, other physical effects such as electrode shape should be taken into account.

V. DISTRIBUTION OF UPWARD STEPS

The conditional probability $U_N(n|k)$ determines the TD for arbitrary $N$. However, in practice, it is difficult to obtain $U_N(n|k)$ for large $N$. To investigate the TD for large $N$, we focus on the intersections of the segmented TDs. In particular, we focus on the right edge $V_{ih} = n$ of each segment, in which $q_1$ is the largest in the offset charges; namely, $p_h = 1$. Equation (4) thus reduces to

$$P^{(n)}_{N-1}(V_{ih}) = U_N(n|N - 1) =: Y(n,N)$$

Therefore, our problem results in obtaining $Y(n,N)$ that indicates the probability in the case of $N - 1$ upward steps for $N - 1$ aligned objects (i.e., $q_2, \ldots, q_N$) of different heights. Since none of the specific features of the model are used, the discussion in the rest of this section is not limited to the CB but has applicability to statistical physics generally.

We consider the probability that the number of upward steps for $N + 1$ different heights is the same as that for $N$ different heights. According to Fig. 3, the probability is expressed by $(k + 1)/N$, where the brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ indicate the average for

$$D^{(n)}_{N-1}(k) := \frac{U_{N-1}(n|k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} U_{N-1}(n|k)}.$$  

$D^{(n)}_{N-1}(k)$ denotes the probability that there are $k$ offset charges less than $q_{ih}$ if there are $n - 1$ upward steps in $N - 1$ offset charges, where the basis for analyzing offset charges is $q_N$, i.e., $h' = N$. Although a mathematical proof has yet to be given, the probability $(k + 1)/N$ is expected to be $n/N$ [20]. This expectation is understandable qualitatively as follows. If there are already many upward steps (i.e., large $n$), then $q_N$ tends to be greater than other offset charges ($q_2, \ldots, q_{N-1}$). Thus, the probability tends to increase with increasing $n$. With this expectation, the recurrence formula for $Y(n,N)$ is obtained as

$$Y(n,N + 1) = \frac{n}{N} Y(n,N) + \frac{N - (n - 1)}{N} Y(n - 1,N).$$  

This probability distribution is identified as that of the following urn process. An urn contains $n$ white balls and $N - n$ black ones. One draws a ball from the urn, and then returns the ball. At the same time, one adds $\alpha$ balls of the same color and $\beta$ balls of the opposite color. In the case $(\alpha, \beta) = (0,1)$, the probability distribution drawing a white ball is represented by $Y(n,N)$. Note that the cases $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta = 0$ are the Pólya urn process [21].

For later discussion, we introduce both a fictive field $x = n - N/2$ and time $t = N$. Note that $x$ and $t$ do not indicate electron motions, but are just changes in variables [22]. By defining $Z(x,t) := Y(x + N/2,N)$, Eq. (8) reduces to

$$Z(x,t + 1) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x_+}{t}\right) Z(x,t) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_-}{t}\right) Z(x,t),$$

where $x_+ = x \pm 1/2$. In the continuous limit, a partial differential equation is obtained:

$$\frac{\partial Z(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{x}{2} Z(x,t) \right] + D \frac{\partial^2 Z(x,t)}{\partial x^2},$$

for which $D = 1/8$ describes the locally coupled CB. The first term of the right-hand side depends explicitly on time, so that the equation is classified as related to a time-dependent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process [23]. The differential equation is equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to active Brownian motion [8], i.e., overdamped motion of a Brownian particle in a harmonic potential $\phi(X,t) = X^2/2t$, represented as

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \phi(X,t) + \sqrt{2D}\xi(t),$$

where $X = X(t)$ denotes the position of the Brownian particle, and $\xi(t)$ denotes a fluctuating term that satisfies $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$ with delta function $\delta(\cdot)$. This novel relationship between the distribution of the upward steps and the active Brownian motion is analogous to that between the binomial coefficient and Brownian motion.

It can be shown that the distribution $Z(x,t)$ is Gaussian with variance $3Dt/2$ under the limit $t \to \infty$ [24]. In that limit, although the variance of the OU process [i.e., $\phi(X,t) = X^2/2t$ in Eq. (11)] is a constant $D$, that of the above time-dependent OU process is proportional to $t$ (Table I). This is qualitatively the same as the Wiener process [i.e., $\phi(X,t) = 0$ in Eq. (11)]; however, the variance of $Z(x,t)$ is smaller than that of the Wiener process of $2Dt$. The presence of the potential is included in consideration of the variance.

VI. A PERSPECTIVE ON NONLINEAR J-V PROPERTY

Let us leave $Z(x,t)$ with the fictive field $x$ and time $t$, and return to $Y(n,N)$ with $n$ intersections of neighboring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential $\phi(X,t)$</th>
<th>Variance ($t \to \infty$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wiener</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ornstein–Uhlenbeck</td>
<td>$X^2/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtained in this paper</td>
<td>$X^2/2t$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Comparison of the variance corresponding to Eq. (11).
segmented TDs and array length $N$. In the long-array limit, the distribution converges to a Gaussian with variance $N/12$.

Finally, we note the connection of TD to the nonlinearity in the $I$-$V$ behavior. One can describe the average $I$-$V$ property $I(V) := \overline{I(V, \{q_i\})}$, where the overline indicates the average for all sets $\{q_i\}$. In general, the offset charge distribution affects not only the value of the threshold but also the trajectory of the electron between positive and negative electrodes. Each $I(V, \{q_i\})$ is linear just above its threshold [6] as

$$I(V, \{q\}) = G(\{q\})(V - V_{th}(\{q\})) \mathcal{H}(V - V_{th}(\{q\})).$$

(12)

where $\mathcal{H}[\cdot]$ denotes the Heaviside step function. The coefficient $G$ depends on the trajectory of an electron and consequently on $\{q\}$. Here, let us consider 1D arrays, where $G$ is regarded as a constant for all offset charge distributions; i.e., the offset charge distribution influences only the value of the threshold. The average $I$-$V$ property of 1D arrays thus reduces to $I_{1D}(V) = \int_0^\infty I(V, V_{th}) P_N(V_{th}) dV_{th}$. Further, the conductance reduces to

$$\frac{dI_{1D}}{dV} = G \int_0^V P_N(V_{th}) dV_{th},$$

(13)

that is, the conductance is represented by the cumulative distribution of $V_{th}$. In the model we employ, the conductance for long arrays is represented by the error function. Since it is not unusual that the TD is Gaussian, a conductance represented by the error function might be universal.

VII. SUMMARY

We have obtained analytically the TD for a locally coupled 1D CB array containing $N$ Coulomb islands. We first found an expression between $V_{th}$ and $q_1$. Second, we introduced the segmented TD as a sum of products of the probability $\Pi_N(k)$ and the conditional probability $U_N(n|k)$. Determining $U_N(n|k)$ leads to specific equations for the entire TD that perfectly describe our simulation results. In the long-array limit, the distribution converges to Gaussian form with variance $N/12$. In addition, we discussed a general characteristic of the nonlinear $I$-$V$ behavior, where the cumulative distribution of the threshold voltage corresponds to the conductance.

We also revealed that the distribution of the intersection is equivalent to the distribution $Y(n, N)$, which indicates the probability for $n - 1$ upward steps for $N - 1$ aligned objects of different heights. Moreover, the distribution $Z(x, t)$, which is equivalent to $Y(n, N)$, satisfies a novel Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to active Brownian motion, i.e., overdamped motion of a Brownian particle in a harmonic potential that spreads with time. This relationship is analogous to Brownian motion and the binomial coefficients (i.e., the Pascal triangle). Further, the concept underlying the distribution of upward steps will be applicable to other nonequilibrium and/or disordered systems. We focused on the derivation of the recurrence formula and the continuous limit in this paper. It will be interesting to investigate characteristics of the novel Fokker-Planck equation.
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[20] We confirmed that \( (k + 1)/N = n/N \) is correct for \( N \leq 6 \).


[24] Let \( v_m(t) \) denote the \( m \)-degree moment of \( x \) with respect to the distribution \( Z(x,t) \). The formal solution of \( v_m(t) \) is obtained from Eq. (10). One can derive \( v_m(t) = 0 \) for odd \( m \) due to symmetry, and \( v_m(t) = (m - 1)!v_2(t)^{\frac{m}{2}} \) \( t \to \infty \) for even \( m \). It indicates that \( Z(x,t) \) converges to a Gaussian distribution.